## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JOSHUA SIMON et al.	CASE No C 22-cv-5541 JST
Plaintiff(s) v.	ADR CERTIFICATION BY PARTIES AND COUNSEL
CITY AND COUNTY OF SF, et al.	
Defendant(s)	
Pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8(b) and ADR L.R. 3-5 (b), each of the undersigned certifies that he or she has:	
<ol> <li>Read the handbook entitled "Alternative Dispute Resolution Procedures Handbook" (available at cand.uscourts.gov/adr).</li> <li>Discussed with each other the available dispute resolution options provided by the Court and private entities; and</li> <li>Considered whether this case might benefit from any of the available dispute resolution options.</li> </ol>	
Date: 12/14/2022	CCSF and Sheriff in his official capacity
Date: 12/14/2022	Party Kaitlyn Murphy
	Attorney
Counsel further certifies that he or she has discussed the selection of an ADR process with counsel for the other parties to the case. Based on that discussion, the parties:	
☐ intend to stipulate to an ADR process	
prefer to discuss ADR selection with the conference	Assigned Judge at the case management
Date: 12/14/2022	/s/ Kaitlyn Murphy
	Attorney

*Important!* E-file this form in ECF using event name: "ADR Certification (ADR LR 3-5 b) of Discussion of ADR Options."